

# RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT and TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT (QPR)

Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) DT1241 5/2014

## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

Research principal investigators and/or project managers should complete a quarterly progress report (QPR) for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active.

WisDOT Research Program Category  Policy Research			Report Period (enter year and check which quarter) Year: 2014					
			☐ Quarter 1 (Jan 1 – Mar ☐ Quarter 2 (Apr 1 – Jun	3 (Jul 1 – Sep 30) 4 (Oct 1 – Dec 31)				
Project Title Analysis and Feasibility of Asphalt Pavement Performance-Based Testing Specifications for the WisDOT				WisDOT Project ID 0092-15-04				
Principal Investigator Name Hussain Bahia			Project Oversight Committee Barry Paye & Michelle (	Project Start Date (m/d/yyyy) 9/26/2014				
(Area Code) Telephone Number 608-265-4481			(Area Code) Telephone Num 920-366-1261 & 920-75	Original End Date (m/d/yyyy) 3/26/2016				
Email Address bahia@engr.wisc.edu			Email Address barry.paye@dot.wi.gov MColling@crmanageme	Current End Date (m/d/yyyy) 3/26/2016				
Project Schedule Status (check one)  ☑ On Schedule ☐ On Revised Schedule ☐ Ahead of Schedule ☐ Behind Schedule  Project Budget Status								
	Total Project Budget	Expenditures Curren Quarter	t Total Expenditures	% Funds Expended	% Work Completed			
	\$199 998 00	\$15 915 13	\$15 915 13	8	8			

# **Project Description**

\* This project is about developing a performance-based acceptance framework that applies to both mixture design and quality control/acceptance during production and further to assess the feasibility of implementing this framework into current WisDOT practice. The main objectives of this project are to develop criteria for selection of candidate test methods to be used in the performance-based HMA evaluation framework and to identify and validate surrogate measures of performance and acceptance testing. It also quantifies the benefits and identifies the challenges of implementing a performance-based framework of mix acceptance through comparison of outcomes to current practice
Based on these results, revisions to WisDOT specifications and introduction of new test methods to better relate mixture design and acceptance testing to in-service performance will be recommended.

Progress This Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.)

\* The contract was signed this quarter and contractual work with the sub-contracting partner was completed this quarter. In this quarter the project kick-off meeting was held with project oversight committee followed by another meeting with POC 2 weeks later in order to get the project started. The review process for selection of the technical criteria for evaluation of candidate test methods was nearly completed. As results of POC kick off meeting a list of criteria was suggested to be used for selecting candidate test methods. The research team asked TOC members to evaluate the suggested criteria based on an implementation focus of the mix design process and the results of the TOC ranking was presented as following dividing the criteria in three tiers:

		Average ranking	St. Dev.	Range
1.	Standardized Test Procedure	2.5	1.1	1-6
2.	Critical pavement distresses experienced in WI	3.0	1.8	1-6
3.	WisDOT Initiatives	3.3	1.6	1-5
4.	Prior WisDOT experience with test	4.1	1.9	2-7
5.	Specified by other state agencies	4.4	1.1	3-6
6.	Availability of Precision and Bias statement	4.6	2.1	2-7
7.	Cost & Labor Intensiveness	5.8	1.4	4-7

The research team will use importance levels as it was shown in the ballot results with simple statistical techniques to assign the weight factors for each criterion. In this quarter the preliminary literature review was done on identifying the potential test methods that can be used in different categories of Modulus, Permanent Deformation, Load Associated Cracking, Thermal Cracking and Moisture Sensitivity.

#### **Anticipated Work Next Quarter**

\* Next quarter the team will complete the review of the all current literature on the identified test methods in each aforementioned performance field tier with regard to the seven selection criteria identified in first quarter. The POC will be consulted to discuss possible options in finalizing the selection of test methods which will be included in the work plan for developing the framework, thus completing both "Task 1" and "Task 2" in the work plan. The team will present project memorandum and interim presentation with results from finalizing the work plan at full TOC meeting. Laboratory work is expected to begin later next quarter.

# **Circumstances Affecting Project or Budget**

\* None.

### Attach / Insert Gantt Chart and Other Project Documentation

Task	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Tuok	10/14- 12/14	1/15- 3/15	4/15- 6/15	7/15- 9/15	10/15- 12/15	1/16- 3/16	4/16- 6/16
1. Synthesis of Current Research	M1						
2. Work Plan Development							
Interim Presentation and Project     Memorandum		D1,M2					
4. Execution of Work Plan and Analysis of Results							
5. Project Deliverables						D2	
6. Project Closeout							D3,M3

Quarter

(\*enter text)

or WisDOT Use Only				
Staff Receiving QPR	Date Received (m/d/yyyy)			
J. Walejko	1/9/2015			
Staff Approving QPR	Date Approved (m/d/yyyy)			
Barry Paye	2/26/2015			